



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,057	02/25/2004	Paul M. Julich	GEH01 057	8932
36290 7590 11/05/2008 DUANE MORRIS LLP - DC 505 9th Street Suite 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2166				
EXAMINER				
BEHNCKE, CHRISTINE M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3661				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/05/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/785,057

Applicant(s)

JULICH ET AL.

Examiner

CHRISTINE M. BEHNCKE

Art Unit

3661

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTINE M. BEHNCKE.

(3) _____.

(2) Ryan Hardee (Reg. No. 57,515).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 4,6,9,12 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gottschlich, MacPhail, and Morariu.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims were discussed in view of the applied references, particularly Gottschlich and MacPhail combination, the supplied background of the invention and the discussion held of the claimed invention. An agreement was reached that the claimed invention in view of Applicant's Remarks and discussion held may distinguish from the applied prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christine M. Behncke/
Examiner, Art Unit 3661

/Thomas G. Black/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3661